

# Abstraction for System Verification

Susanne Graf  
VERIMAG, Grenoble, France

Use of appropriate abstraction is a key for successful verification of properties of programs and systems. Solving a general verification problem  $M \models \psi$  is of high complexity – which is sometimes called “state explosion”. In an abstraction-based approach, we calculate for some appropriate abstraction function  $\alpha$  at a reasonable cost an “abstract interpretation”  $\alpha(M)$  of a program or system preserving the property  $\psi$ , such that checking  $\alpha(M) \models \psi$  can be done with a reasonable effort – because both  $\alpha(M)$  and  $\psi$  are sufficiently “small” or have sufficiently “close structure” so as to make this check feasible. Despite the fact that this method is not complete when  $\alpha$  is chosen from a restricted set, this approach proved to be practical for real applications.

Good news is that abstraction is compositional for almost any usual notion of composition (which we denote  $\parallel$ ). That means,  $\parallel_i \alpha(M_i) \models \psi$  guarantees that  $\parallel_i M_i \models \psi$ . But the bad news is that this is not enough for successful verification of large systems: it is generally the case that (1) for sufficiently preservative  $\alpha$ ,  $\parallel_i \alpha(M_i) \models \psi$  poses still the state explosion problem, (2) whereas if  $\alpha$  provides enough complexity reduction, then  $\parallel_i \alpha(M_i) \models \psi$  does not hold.

Several methods for overcoming this problem have been proposed, such as:

- for systems with a very regular structure, such as a set of (almost) identical  $M_i$ , it may be possible to define an additional abstraction  $\alpha'$ , such that  $\alpha'(\parallel_i \alpha(M_i))$  is simple, yet strong enough for successfully checking  $\alpha'(\parallel_i \alpha(M_i)) \models \psi$ .
- decomposition of  $\psi$  into “local guarantees”  $\psi_i$  such that  $\bigwedge \psi_i \Rightarrow \psi$  and  $\alpha(M_i) \models \psi_i$  holds is sometimes successful; it may fail because local information is not sufficient to ensure  $\psi_i$ .
- iterative composition and abstraction avoids providing local guarantees  $\dots \alpha_{123}(\alpha_3(M_3) \parallel \alpha_{12}(\alpha_2(M_2) \parallel \alpha_1(M_1)))$ . It may fail because the complexity of intermediate expressions explodes; the reason is also here that local information is insufficient.
- iterative computation of abstractions  $M_i^A$  taking into account increasingly stronger context information may ensure  $\psi_i$ ; it generally fails when there are strong mutual dependencies amongst components.

We present a general framework of abstraction and show how to use abstractions for reasoning meaningfully about implementations of large composed systems. We also introduce a general contract framework and show that the combination of such top-down design constraints with bottom-up abstractions allows proving stronger properties.

## References

1. K. Baukus, S. Bensalem, Y. Lakhnech, K. Stahl. *Abstracting ws1s Systems to Verify Parameterized Networks*. TACAS 2000; LNCS 1785; 2000.
2. T. Ball, S. K. Rajamani. *The SLAM Toolkit*. CAV 2001; LNCS 2102; 2001.
3. P. Cousot, R. Cousot. *Abstract Interpretation: A Unified Lattice Model for Static Analysis of Programs by Construction or Approximation of Fixpoints*. 4th POPL; 1977.

4. L. de Alfaro, T. A. Henzinger. *Interface Automata*. FSE'01; ACM Press; 2001.
5. S. Graf, G. Lüttgen, B. Steffen. *Compositional Minimisation of Finite State Systems using Interface Specifications*. Formal Aspects of Computation, Vol. 8; 1996.
6. S. Graf. *Characterization of a Sequentially Consistent Memory and Verification of a Cache Memory by Abstraction*. Distributed Computing, Vol. 12; 1999.
7. C. Loiseaux, S. Graf, J. Sifakis, A. Bouajjani, S. Bensalem. *Property Preserving Abstractions for the Verification of Concurrent Systems*. Formal Methods in System Design, Vol. 6, Issue 1; 1995.
8. S. Quinton, S. Graf. *Contract-based Verification of Hierarchical Systems of Components*. SEFM'08; IEEE Computer Society Press; 2008.
9. S. Quinton, S. Graf, R. Passerone. *Contract-based Reasoning for Component-Systems with Complex Interactions*. submitted for publication; 2010.

<http://www-verimag.imag.fr/~graf/?link=Publications>